

Review of Blue Bell Hill Local Parking Plan (Zone F) – August 2008

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Laurie Grey Avenue</p> <p>This was included in the original scheme with 1 hour restriction noon – 1 pm on weekdays</p> <p>Reconsultation - 21 Properties</p> <p>Keep Existing Scheme 9 Control Parking with Permits 2 Remove the scheme 7</p> <p>Recommendation for Laurie Grey Avenue</p>	<p>No. 8 and No 6 support scheme in principal but previous residents did not ask for bar marking across driveway. Have just moved in and would like bar marking when the review alterations are implemented</p> <p>No. 17 – Supports scheme but feel residents have had a poor deal. Requests the Double Yellow Line (DYL) are removed from the turning head and the scheme is changed to a resident exemption scheme or removed altogether</p> <p>No. 12 received PCNs. Supports scheme in principal to deter commuters but feels the scheme is inflexible and would like residents cars registered for exemption or the PA to knock on doors to find out if the car is local before issuing a ticket</p> <p>No.12 would like lines removed and advisory sign installed to say its parking for residents only</p> <p>No. 14 has 3 parking tickets since moving into the house. Forget to move car onto access or use driveway. Request review of the operation of the scheme for Laurie Gray Avenue to have parking permits instead</p> <p><i>In view of the comments received, residents were reconsulted on their wishes for the final scheme; the results were inconclusive.</i></p>	<p>Added to list for amendments to scheme</p> <p>Letter sent 8/9/08. Asked to confirm continuation of request or not by 30/9/08 to be reported to Boards. Confirmed</p> <p>Letter sent 8/9/08 explaining that not possible to register all residents vehicles for exemption. Residents previously rejected suggestion for permits and bays. Asked to confirm continuation of request or not by 30/9/08 to be reported to Boards. Confirmed.</p> <p>Advised that this is not a permissible sign.</p> <p>Email sent 8/9/098. Explained residents previously rejected suggestion for permits and bays. Asked to confirm continuation of request or not by 30/9/08 to be reported to Boards. Confirmed</p> <p>In view of the inconclusive results from the re-consultation, retain the scheme as it currently is.</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Saddlers Close</p> <p>This was not included in the current scheme because residents did not wish to be part of it.</p> <p>Area monitored for overspill over 18 month</p> <p>Re-consultation – 30 properties</p> <p>Control parking with 1 hour Restriction 3 Parking arrangements to remain unchanged 6 Control parking with permits 1</p>	<p>No.2 Request for bar marking outside driveway when the scheme is reviewed</p>	<p>Added to Road marking programme and resident advised</p> <p>Recommendation for Saddlers Close</p> <p>No change to current parking arrangements</p>
<p>Hallsfield Road</p> <p>Included in scheme with weekday 1 hour restriction 11 – 12noon on one side of the road and 12 noon – 1 pm on opposite side</p> <p>No re-consultation necessary as no unresolved objections outstanding</p>	<p>No. 34 Very pleased with scheme. Request for bar marking outside driveway when the scheme is reviewed</p> <p>No. 11 delighted with the scheme</p> <p>No 62 delighted with the effect of the scheme</p> <p>No 79 Received PCN In first week of the scheme operating. Has 3 cars and no access to driveway so when home from University has trouble with moving car from one side of road to other to avoid staggered restrictions.</p>	<p>Added to list for Contractors – resident has since telephoned to say that it is not needed</p> <p>Parking Manager advised that no grounds existed to cancel PCN.</p> <p>Recommendation for Hallsfield Road</p> <p>No change to current parking arrangements</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Robin Hood Lane (Crematorium/M2 overbridge to end)</p> <p>Lower end not included in initial scheme as no support from residents. Residents advised that area will be monitored and re consultation will take place after end of 18 months</p> <p>Re-consultation – 25 properties</p> <p>Control parking with 1 hour Restriction 4 Parking arrangements to remain unchanged 7 Control parking with permits 4 Properties not responded. 10</p>	<p>No 230 - commuter parking has transferred to crematorium end on certain days (via KCC CoCllr Mr G Rowe)</p> <p>No.252 levels of parking at cul de sac end Further representations received not agreeing that there is insufficient support for inclusion in the scheme and that a scheme should installed</p> <p>No 209 – Commuter parking is causing obstruction to refuse vehicle and other traffic</p>	<p>Recommendation - as there is insufficient support for the introduction of a parking scheme to continue to monitor area for commuter parking for a further 12 months</p> <p>Extend the DYL from the Crematoruim entrance over the M2 overbridge to increase sight lines in and out of the access</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Robin Hood Lane (Section outside the Church and Village Hall)</p> <p>The scheme included the removal of a small time- limited parking area between the entrance to the Village Hall car park and the vehicle access to the Church and the installation of DYL. This was to assist with the Village Hall plans to widen the access and install additional parking at the back of the Village Hall and Church. The plans have not progressed due to difficulty in gaining agreement with the Church Commissioners over a strip of land – although the plans are not abandoned.</p>	<p>Vicar and various congregation members expressed dissatisfaction that the small time - limited parking area between the entrances to the Church and Village Hall were removed as this disadvantaged elderly Church Members impacting on the Church and social activities of the Village Hall</p> <p>A continuing request from Church representatives was considered by members prior to implementation and not upheld. Representations have continued.</p> <p>Police view on restoring the bays – “parking between the access points obstructs sight lines and causes danger to vehicles on the highway and also to drivers emerging out of the driveways to the Village Hall and Church. The area is not long enough to provide a full parking bay whilst still providing adequate visibility for the entrances. The Police do not support the removal of the double yellow lines and would encourage both Village Hall and the Church to look at ways of resolving the land issues and providing a car park suitable for both their needs”</p>	<p>Representations from the Church and congregation about the inconvenience of not having the parking bay for elderly members of the congregation during winter months when they cannot park on the grass within the Church boundaries. Continued request to remove DYL between access points as no prospect for new car park in near future.</p> <p>Village Hall representative advises that plans to improve off-street parking for Church and Village Hall have not moved forward but are not abandoned.</p> <p>In view of the advice from the police and Borough officers assessment of the risks associated with this parking, it is recommended that the DYL be retained on safety grounds.</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Thorne Close</p> <p>This was not included in the current scheme as residents did not wish to be part of it.</p> <p>Area monitored for overspill over 18 month period</p> <p>Re-consultation – 9 properties</p> <p>Control parking with 1 hour Restriction 6</p> <p>Parking arrangements to remain unchanged 1</p> <p>Control parking with permits 0</p>	<p>No. 2 Commuter parking at entrance to cul de sac</p> <p>No.4 - Need to put restrictions in place to stop commuter parking.</p> <p>No.4 Businesses in Maidstone Road are using Thorne Close to park instead of their car park</p> <p>No Number – Attached log of parking on various days – request for parking restrictions to be installed</p>	<p>Re-consultation questionnaires delivered w/e 8/8/08</p> <p>Recommendation – include Thorne Close within the scheme</p>
<p>Common Road</p> <p>Included in initial scheme with 1 hour restriction at midday</p> <p>No re consultation necessary as no unresolved objections outstanding</p>	<p>No. 14 Aggrieved about PCN - would like the SYL to be altered to residents and visitors permit scheme</p>	<p>Letter sent 8/9/08. Asked to confirm continuation of request or not by 30/9/08 to be reported to Boards Advised that no other representations received from other residents</p> <p>No response received.</p> <p>Recommendation – the parking arrangements to remain unchanged</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Barling Close</p> <p>This was not included in the current scheme as residents did not wish to be part of it.</p> <p>Area monitored for overspill over 18 month period</p> <p>Re-consultation – 17 properties</p> <p>Control parking with 1 hour Restriction 4</p> <p>Parking arrangements to remain unchanged 8</p> <p>Control parking with permits 0</p>	<p>Request for inclusion in the scheme; 2 properties</p>	<p>Recommendation – the parking arrangements to remain unchanged</p>
<p>Tunbury Ave (part)</p> <p>Included in initial scheme with 1 hour staggered restriction at midday</p>	<p>11 Saracen Heights – Commuter parking on junction requires parking restriction – extend scheme to remainder of Tunbury Avenue</p> <p>No other representations on this over 18 month monitoring period</p>	<p>Monitoring figures do not show this to be a persistent nuisance. There is no evidence of transferred parking to this area. There is school traffic which is a short term problem during term time at each end of the school day.</p> <p>Recommendation – to continue to monitor for a further 12 months. The parking arrangements to remain unchanged</p>

Location	Comments Raised during 18 month Experimental Period	Action/Recommendation
<p>Roman Close</p> <p>This was not included in the current scheme as residents did not wish to be part of it.</p> <p>Area monitored for overspill over 18 month period</p> <p>Re-consultation – 33 properties</p> <p>Control parking with 1 hour Restriction 5</p> <p>Parking arrangements to remain unchanged 14</p> <p>Control parking with permits 0</p>	<p>Comments from Parish Councillor who is a resident - not an apparent problem with transferred parking</p> <p>1 representation received to include into scheme</p>	<p>Recommendation – the parking arrangements to remain unchanged</p>
<p>Toddington Crescent Maidstone Road Keefe Close</p> <p>No re consultation necessary as no unresolved objections outstanding</p>	<p>No representations received</p>	<p>Recommendation – the present parking arrangements to remain unchanged</p>